Game Technology



Lecture 13 – 30.01.2018 Artificial Intelligence in Games



Black & White (2001)

Dipl-Inf. Robert Konrad Polona Caserman, M.Sc.

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Ralf Steinmetz KOM - Multimedia Communications Lab

PPT-for-all___v.3.4_office2010___2012.09.10.pptx

© author(s) of these slides including research results from the KOM research network and TU Darmstadt; otherwise it is specified at the respective slide



Computer Space (1971)

- Joel Bushnell, Ted Dabney
- Players control a spaceship
- AI UFOs
 - Fire into the quadrant in which the player spaceship is







More info and image sources: <u>http://www.technologizer.com/2011/12/11/computer-space-and-the-dawn-of-the-arcade-video-game/2/</u>



- Toru Iwatani
- Four different ghost "personalities"

E.g. red ghost

- "Shadow"
 - Target is always Pac-Man (vs. where he is going to)

More info

http://gameinternals.com/post/2072558330/underst anding-pac-man-ghost-behavior



	CHARACTER	/ NICKNAME		CHARACTER / NICKNAME
••	- SHADOW	"BLINKY"	••	OIKAKE"AKABEI"
	-SPEEDY	"PINKY"		MACHIBUSE"PINKY"
	-BASHFUL	"INKY"		KIMAGURE"AOSUKE"
	-POKEY	"CLYDE"		OTOBOKE"GUZUTA"



Creatures (1996)

- Steve Grand
- Train and breed creatures
- Used neural networks for AI









Today

- AI central component in almost all games
- Gets more importance (= computation time), is integrated into game design decisions
- AI engines and AI middleware









Model





Al gets turned into on-screen action

Complexity



Simple things can look good

- ELIZA (1966)
- Hello. My name is Eliza. How may I help you?
- * I want to give a lecture on AI for games.
- > Why do you want to give a lecture on AI for games?
- * It is part of a lecture series on game technology.
- Say, do you have any psychological problems?
- Maybe...
- You don't seem quite certain.

Pac Man



Complexity



More complex things can easily look bad



Kinds of AI in games



"Hacks"

- Make random adjustments to movement
- Add an animation to show the emotional state instead of simulating the resulting actions

Heuristics

- Instead of pathfinding, turn and go towards the goal
- In strategy games, assign values to units instead of computing their capabilities

Algorithms

- Re-usable approaches to AI
- E.g. movement, pathfinding, decision making

Movement





Movement

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Input

- Character's current state
- Game information, e.g. the current goal

Output

Movement requests

Kinematic vs. Dynamic movement

- Kinematic Update the velocity directly
- Dynamic Take into account velocities, change via accelerations (see physics lectures)



Movement comparison



Kinematic

Dynamic









Dimensionality

2D

- Easiest
- Often applicable to 3D rendered games
- 2D-Position + Orientation

2.5D

- 3D-Position + 2D orientation
- E.g. for characters walking and jumping/climbing ledges

3D

- Required if motion is truly 3D
- E.g. flight simulators









Simple movement behaviours (kinematic)



Seek

- Compare to target and current position
- Output a velocity going there directly at maximum speed

Flee

Reverse direction from Seek



Simple movement behaviours



Arrive

- Define a radius when the goal is reached
- Slow down as the character gets closer
- Outside of radius
 - Calculate speed to reach target in fixed amount of time
 - Clip to maximum speed
 - → Will slow down as it gets closer
- Inside the radius
 - No movement (probaby other behaviour appropriate, e.g. attacking)

Simple movement behaviours



Wander

 Change the orientation randomly in a small range each time the behaviour is called



Dynamic Steering



Take into acount rotation and velocity

Seek and Flee

- As before: Choose direction to goal
- Output an acceleration in that direction
- Maximum speed: Either via clipping or via drag



Dynamic Steering



Arrive

- Seek can overshoot and oscillate
- Define a radius in which the character should slow down
- Slowing down is done by calculating an acceleration that leads to the target velocity



Align

Align to the same orientation as the target

Velocity matching

Match the velocity of the target

Delegated behaviours

Based on the primitive behaviours we have seen until now

Pursue

- Calculate where the target will be if it continues to move with the current velocity
- A Steer behaviour is used to move towards this new target

Evade

Opposite of pursue





KOM – Multimedia Communications Lab 20



Face

Align to the target

Looking where you are going

Wander

Uses Seek



Uses seek







Obstacle and Wall Avoidance



Similar, but distinct from collision detection in physics

Basic mechanism: Use ray casts

- One ray cast often not enough
- Use multiple casts or swept volumes

Handling corners

- Deadlock even for several rays
- Possible solutions
 - Adaptive ray fan sizes
 - Special-case code for corners



Combining steering behaviours

(Weighted) blending

- Take the (weighted) average of basic steerings
- Good weights? Always the same weights?

Flocking

- Simulation of fish schools, bird flocks, …
- Simulated entities referred to as boids
- Created by Craig Reynolds, 1987
- Blend of 3 steering factors
 - Separation (move away from close boids)
 - Move in the same way as the flock (match avg. velocity and orientation)
 - Cohesion (steer towards center of the flock)





Basin of attraction

Combining steering behaviours

Equilibria

Conflicting steering behaviours lead to deadlocks

Unstable equilibria

- Situation is inpropable
- Basin of attraction is small

Stable equilibria

Less likely to be broken by numerical inaccuracies





Priorities



Define priorities for steering behaviours

E.g. collision avoidance has priority, if it has an output, it is taken

Can still oscillate for stable equilibria



Pathfinding





Pathfinding



Higher-level movement planning

Generate a path for an AI to follow

Based on a graph

- Basic connectivity: point B can be reached from A if there is a connection between them
- Weights/costs: How "hard" is it to get from A to B?
- Directions: In which direction is the connection? (E.g. jumping down an unclimbable ledge)

KOM – Multimedia Communications Lab 27

Finding a path

A*-Algorithm is the prevalent solution Not required to know in this lecture

 Requires an adjacent-list and connections between nodes (e.g. tiles)

calculate cost of CurrentNode

add CurrentNode to the Open-Node-List

while (Open-Node-List not empty)

CurrentNode = node from Open-Node-List with lowest costs

if (CurrentNode == TargetNode)

Path completed

else

for each AdjacentNode next to CurrentNode if (AdjacentNode not in Open-Node-List and AdjacentNode not in Visited-Node-List and AdjacentNode is not an obstacle) calculate cost of AdjacentNode link AdjacentNode to CurrentNode add AdjacentNode to Open-Node-List add CurrentNode to Visited-Node-List







Tile-based

Well-suited if game world is already represented by tiles (e.g. heightmap)

Plans can be blocky due to rectangular nature





Points of visibility



Based on the edges of the world



Navigation mesh



Use the mesh of the floor as the basis



Path smoothing



Filter paths to remove sharp edges

• E.g. by checking connectivity between waypoints by ray casts



Hierarchical pathfinding



Cluster waypoints (e.g. by rooms, areas, ...)

Compute paths between the clusters

Only when the character needs to traverse a cluster, find a path through it



Movement planning

A character that is animated has only a finite set of animations

- Limited to certain directions
- Limited to certain speeds

Use A* variant to search good combinations









Decision Making





Decision Making





Decision Trees



Structure decisions as a series of conditions and actions as leaves

- Created by designer
- Condition nodes can have more than 2 branches
- Performance
 - Try to keep trees balanced
 - Use computation-heavy conditions further down in the tree


Random Decision Trees



We do not want to have the same (predictable) behaviour each time

Add randomness to the graph

- Watch out for oscillations
- Save previous choices and only change after a timeout

KOM – Multimedia Communications Lab 38

State Machines

Generalization of the decision trees

- States govern the behaviour of the agent
- Transitions are triggered if the condition matches

Created by designers

Hard-coded vs. flexible





Hierarchical State Machines



Problem: "Alarm" states

- Normal behaviour is interrupted, e.g. by seeing the player
- Can be from any of the normal states \rightarrow many transitions to alarm state
- Later return to normal state



Behavior Trees



Combination of other techniques

Easy to understand and create for non-programmers (?)

First description in Halo 2 (2004)

Tasks instead of states

- Conditions
- Actions
- Composites
 - Selector
 - Sequence



Behavior Trees





Non-determinism





Behavior Tree shortcomings



Behavior Trees can become hard to manage and understand

Eg. Behavior Tree in "The Division":



Utility-Based Al



Don't explicitly "script" Al behavior Instead, create options for Als

- Patrol
- Sleep
- Attack
- Reload
- ...

Which option to choose?

- The one that is the most useful at the moment \rightarrow Utility
- E.g. no ammo in magazine and want to shoot \rightarrow Utility of "Reload" is high
- E.g. ammo in magazine is full \rightarrow Utility of "Reload" is low

Example: Reloading





Considerations



Even if Als have many options, they need similar checks for most of them

We can implement re-usable considerations

Examples

- Distance to enemy
- Current health
- Current ammo
- ...

Reflective considerations

- Time since last execution
- Number of times executed

• ...

Example – "Distance to enemy"-consideration



If we want to flee

If we want to punch



Choosing an option to use



Choose between different options

- Supply a weight per option
- Do weight-based random
 - E.g. Option 1 is weighted with weight 2, option 2 with weight 1
 - \rightarrow Option 1 will be executed 2/3 of the time

Option 1 (Weight: 2) Option 2 (W:1)

Believable

- More important options are used more often
- Non-deterministic: Not every time the same option

Override Behaviors



Problem with weight-based random: We are allowed to choose bad options, even if their weight is really low

E.g. a grenade is thrown next to an AI

- Throwing it away is a good option
- Having a chat with your teammate is a really bad option

Solutions

- Do not consider options with weight 0 at all
- Define a cutoff: Options further away than x % from the best option will not be considered
- Ranks

Ranks



Let considerations also rank their options Only consider valid options of the highest overall rank

Handle the grenade: Rank 5 Have a chat with a teammate: Rank 1

If the grenade example happens, the option "Handle the grenade" gets priority, regardless of the other option's weights

KOM – Multimedia Communications Lab 52

Goal-oriented behaviour

Goals

Actions

- A.k.a. motives or insistence
- Something the character wants to achieve
- E.g. (Sims) Hunger, bathroom distress, ...

The Sims (2000)

- Anything the character can do
- Depends on the game state (e.g. is the food it wants to eat raw or cooked?)
- Changes the goal levels (eating \rightarrow less hunger)





Selection

Just choose the current optimum

- Can be sufficient
- But will not lead to longer strategies



Goal: Eat = 4 Goal: Sleep = 3 Action: Get-Raw-Food (Eat - 3) Action: Get-Snack (Eat - 2) Action: Sleep-In-Bed (Sleep - 4) Action: Sleep-On-Sofa (Sleep - 2)

Overall utility

- Add a distress/energy metric
- Choose the option that leaves the character with the best overall result

Timing

- Also keep time in the view
- Short, ineffective vs. Long and effective actions

Planning



Goal-Oriented Action Planning (GOAP)

- Look at sequences of actions
- Keep a world model and extend it into the hypothetical future
- Rank the actions by the overall utility they produce in the long run

Use A* (variants)

- Instead of depth-first search, use a heuristic
- Use A* variants that can cope with infinite graphs
 - E.g. IDA* (Iterative Deepening A*)

Horizon effect

- How many actions do we look ahead?
- What if n actions lead make only slow progress, but n+1 (beyond our horizon) is the best option?

Blackboard architectures



Every AI can write to and read from the blackboard Efficient way of communicating with other AIs

For decision making

- Al components estimate if they can act on the current blackboard state
- When given control by an arbiter, they control the character



Tactical and Strategical AI





Tactical and Strategical AI







VS

Waypoint Tactics



Find points that are suited for different actions



Tactical Analyses

Analyze the game world

Influence maps

- How strong is the influence of each player?
- Based on unit and building strength values
- Distance attenuation



w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w
w	W	W	W	W_2	W	w	W	W	W3	W	W	W	W	W	В
w	W 4	W	W	W	W	w	W	W_2	w	w	W	W	W	В	В
W	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	В	В	B 2
w	w	w	w2	w	W1	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	В	В	В
W	W	W	w	W	W	W1	W	w	w	W	W	В	В	В	В
W	W	W	w	W	W	W	W	w	w	W	В	^B 2	В	В	В
w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	×	В	В	В	В	В
w	W	W	w	W	W	W	W	w	w	В	В	В	В	^B 2	В
W	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	¥	в	В	В	В	В	В	В
w	W	w	w	W	w	w	W	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
W	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	в	в	^B 2	В	В	В	В	В
W	В	В	В	В	W	W	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
В	В	^B 2	в	в	в	в	в	в	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В

Unreal Engine Environmental Queries



Generates query points (e.g. around the AI) Tests the points and returns the best one(s)



Learning influence maps



Frag Maps



Multiple Layer Analysis



Combine different tactical analyses into one



Generating influence maps

Map Flooding

- Use Dijkstra Algorithm variant
- If influences overlap, the stronger influence wins
- Leads to Voronoi Diagram-like map

Filters

Blur

- Sharpen
 - E.g. to find the most important location







Coordinated Action



Bottom up

Als query higher-level systems for tactical data

Top-down

High-level commands passed downwards



Execution management





Al gets turned into on-screen action

KOM - Multimedia Communications Lab 66

Scheduling

Frequency

How often should the AI task be called?

Phase

- Offset the task's execution by n frames
- If several tasks have the same phase, spread them out by changing phases

Choose a good phasing

- Wright's method
 - Simulate (only counting) ahead N frames
 - Choose the frame with the lowest number of tasks and set the phasing accordingly







Interruptible tasks



- Allow Al tasks to run over several frames
- E.g. pathfinding across the whole map
- Continue where it stopped the last time



Anytime Algorithms



Be interruptible

Always provide a solution

- Can be used as a good starting point
- Will be refined the longer the algorithm runs in total

Also remember hacks

- Just start going into the direction of the target
 - Can always backtrack later
 - Always better than not moving for some seconds
- Carry out a "order received" animation

AI Level of Detail



AI that is imperceptible gets less time and simulates less

Hysteresis

Characters moving in and out of the radius

Phase out areas that are not nearby





Al Recap: Doom (1993)

Source code available at https://github.com/id-Software/DOOM

Basics

Characters displayed as sprites with 8 directions









Historical AI: Doom (1993)



Movement → Kinematic

Basic moving

- Character is given a move direction and a speed
- If valid (no wall, no falling, ...) character is moved
- If bumps into an openable door, game opens it
- Facing the move direction: adjusted in 90 degree steps

Chasing

- Find a chase direction
- Followed for a fixed number of frames (movecount), then re-evaluated
 - Direct path if available
 - If no direct path, try random

Historical AI: Doom (1993)

Pathfinding

- Nada
- Not implemented until Quake 3?

Decision Making

- Finite State Machine
- Also combined with the animation sprite handling
- Callback to A_XYZ functions for actions

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

typedef struct
{
 spritenum_tsprite;
 longframe;
 longtics;
 // void(*action) ();
 actionf_taction;
 statenum_tnextstate;
 longmisc1, misc2;
} state_t;

Historical AI: Doom (1993)



Strategy

Nothing here yet

World Interface

- Checking if player is visible
 - Distance, 180 degrees vision, Obstructed by geometry?
- Activated by sounds
 - Al sounds alert them to the player, sound propagation approximated

Execution Management

Thinkers" for game objects (including AI) called once per tic

Content Creation, Scripting

- Scripting implemented in Hexen
- Content Creation: Doomed Editor, add objects with special relevance (triggering events), but event itself hardcoded
Literature

Artificial Intelligence for Games

Ian Millington, John Funge

AI Game Programming Wisdom Series

http://aigamedev.com/





Conclusion



Different levels of AI control

- Basic movement algorithms
- Choosing where to move and on which path
- Higher-level behaviours
- Planning

Approaches

- Hacks
- Heuristics
- Algorithms